



International Science Group

ISG-KONF.COM

XII

**INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC
AND PRACTICAL CONFERENCE
"ACTUAL PRIORITIES OF MODERN SCIENCE,
EDUCATION AND PRACTICE"**

**Paris, France
March 29 - April 01, 2022**

ISBN 979-8-88526-748-9

DOI 10.46299/ISG.2022.1.12

ACTUAL PRIORITIES OF MODERN SCIENCE, EDUCATION AND PRACTICE

Proceedings of the XII International Scientific and Practical Conference

Paris, France
March 29 – April 01, 2022

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

UDC 01.1

The XII International Scientific and Practical Conference «Actual priorities of modern science, education and practice», March 29 – April 01, 2022, Paris, France. 893 p.

ISBN - 979-8-88526-748-9

DOI - 10.46299/ISG.2022.1.12

EDITORIAL BOARD

<u>Pluzhnik Elena</u>	Professor of the Department of Criminal Law and Criminology Odessa State University of Internal Affairs Candidate of Law, Associate Professor
<u>Liubchych Anna</u>	Scientific and Research Institute of Providing Legal Framework for the Innovative Development National Academy of Law Sciences of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine, Scientific secretary of Institute
<u>Liudmyla Polyvana</u>	Department of Accounting and Auditing Kharkiv National Technical University of Agriculture named after Petr Vasilenko, Ukraine
<u>Mushenyk Iryna</u>	Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor of Mathematical Disciplines, Informatics and Modeling. Podolsk State Agrarian Technical University
<u>Oleksandra Kovalevska</u>	Dnipropetrovsk State University of Internal Affairs Dnipro, Ukraine
<u>Prudka Liudmyla</u>	Odessa State University of Internal Affairs, Associate Professor of Criminology and Psychology Department
<u>Slabkyi Hennadii</u>	Doctor of Medical Sciences, Head of the Department of Health Sciences, Uzhhorod National University.
<u>Marchenko Dmytro</u>	PhD, Associate Professor, Lecturer, Deputy Dean on Academic Affairs Faculty of Engineering and Energy
<u>Harchenko Roman</u>	Candidate of Technical Sciences, specialty 05.22.20 - operation and repair of vehicles.
<u>Belei Svitlana</u>	Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Security of Enterprise
<u>Lidiya Parashchuk</u>	PhD in specialty 05.17.11 "Technology of refractory non-metallic materials"
<u>Kanyovska Lyudmila Volodymyrivna</u>	Associate Professor of the Department of Internal Medicine
Levon Mariia	Candidate of Medical Sciences, Associate Professor, Scientific direction - morphology of the human digestive system
Hubal Halyna Mykolaivna	Ph.D. in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor

METHODS FOR THE TOXICITY PREDICTION AND EVALUATION OF PHENOLS

Pushkarova Yaroslava

PhD, Associate Professor
Department of Analytical, Physical and Colloid Chemistry
Bogomolets National Medical University

Mustafa Al Saker

5th Year Student of the Faculty for Training of Foreign Citizens
Bogomolets National Medical University
Ukraine

Determining the toxicity of chemicals is one of the most important stages along the way creation of medicines. This the indicator is of great importance not only in pharmacology, but also in industry and many other areas of human activity where there is potential contact with harmful substances – agriculture, perfumes, detergents, etc. It is known that the experimental study of only one type of toxicity requires a large number of animals, considerable time and is time consuming. Computer prediction of the toxicity of chemical compounds began to develop in the 1980s. This was facilitated by the high cost of experimental studies in toxicology. In studies of various aspects of experimental determination of toxicity, it becomes very important to use calculation methods to predict these indicators, which allows you to assess in advance the possible risk of using chemicals without additional experiments [1].

Phenolic compounds are characterized by different medical and health uses (antioxidant effect, antibacterial effect, anti-cancer effect, cardioprotective effects). That is why they are interesting from a toxicological point of view. The toxicity of phenols involves a number of different mechanisms of toxic action including polar narcosis, weak acid respiratory uncoupling, electrophilicity, and those compounds capable of being metabolised or oxidised to quinones. By far the largest number of toxicity data are available for the inhibition of growth to the protozoan ciliate *Tetrahymena pyriformis* [2-5].

Different approaches for classification and prediction of the toxicity of phenols was used. There have been many attempts to develop QSARs (quantitative structure activity relationship) for the prediction of the toxicity of phenolic compounds.

In 1996 Cronin and Schultz [6] were able to develop a two-parameter QSAR for the toxicity of phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis* based on descriptors for hydrophobicity and electrophilicity:

$$\log 1/IGC_{50} = 0.671(\pm 0.022)\log P - 0.670(\pm 0.055)E_{LUMO} - 1.123, (1)$$
$$n = 120, r^2 = 0.899, r_{CV}^2 = 0.893, s = 0.262, F = 523,$$

where IGC_{50} is the concentration in mmol/L of the toxicant causing 50% inhibition of growth to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, P is the octanol–water partition coefficient, E_{LUMO} is the energy of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, n is the number of

observations, r^2 is the correlation coefficient, r_{CV}^2 is the cross-validated correlation coefficient using a leave one-one-out approach, s is the standard error of the estimate, F is the Fisher criterion and figures in parentheses are the standard errors on the coefficients.

Garg et al. [7] in 2001 demonstrated a similar relationship to equation 1, but replaced LUMO with Hammett constant σ :

$$\log 1/IGC_{50} = 0.64(\pm 0.04)\log P + 0.61(\pm 0.12)\sigma + 1.84 (\pm 0.13), \quad (2)$$

$$n = 119, r^2 = 0.896, r_{CV}^2 = 0.887, s = 0.265, F \text{ not given.}$$

A variety of methods were utilised to develop QSARs for the prediction of the toxicity of 200 phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis* and are compared in [5]: the response-surface approach, stepwise regression, partial least squares. The response-surface, or two parameter, approach was found to be successful, but only following the removal of compounds known to form quinones. Stepwise regression produced a seven parameter QSAR with good statistical fit, but was less interpretable and transparent than the response-surface. Partial least squares produced a good model for phenolic toxicity following supervised selection of parameters, this, however, was the least transparent of all approaches attempted.

The stepwise linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was used for classification of the toxic mechanisms of action for 221 phenols, for which toxicity data to the ciliate *Tetrahymena pyriformis* were available [8]. The compounds were a priori grouped into the following four mechanisms according to structural rules: polar narcotics, weak acid respiratory uncouplers, pro-electrophiles and soft electrophiles. Hydrophobicity with and without correction for ionisation, acidity constant, frontier orbital energies and hydrogenbond donor and acceptor counts were used as molecular descriptors. LDA models employing 3 ± 6 variables achieved $86 \pm 89\%$ overall correct classification of the four mechanisms, with more varied performance for respiratory uncouplers and pro-electrophiles.

Dieguez-Santana et al. [9] were used the multiple linear regression technique to develop a linear quantitative-structure toxicity relationship (QSTR) model for prediction of phenols toxicity to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. The obtained model was statistically significant and robust indicating the capability of predicting the aquatic toxicity of phenol derivatives in the impairment of the population growth of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*.

Abbasitabar and Zare-Shahabadi [10] were used genetic algorithm and decision tree-based modeling approach for in silico prediction of toxicity of phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. The advantage of proposed algorithm is that one can use the resultant tree to predict phenol toxicity with high accuracy with no a priori knowledge about chemical class or mechanism of action of phenols.

Chen et al. [11] were used popular classification algorithm random forest learner for in silico prediction of toxic action mechanisms of phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. One global and four local classification models were constructed by employing random forest in the cost-sensitive learning framework. The statistical results in the paper confirmed that random forest was a competitive tool for building classification models of toxicity mechanisms prediction.

Ren [12] was used the decision tree in classifying and predicting the aquatic toxicity mechanisms of phenols was investigated. Using molecular descriptors as splitting variables, a three level decision tree with six terminal nodes was obtained. Validation of the decision tree approach indicated that the overall mechanism prediction accuracy was approximately 85%.

Conclusion. The toxicity prediction and evaluation of phenols has become an area of active research, which is confirmed by a variety of approaches to solving this task.

References:

1. Bobkova, L. S., Chekman, I. S., Yavorovskiy, A. P., Nebesna, T. Yu, & Zinchenko T. O. (2008). Use of QSAR methodology in toxicology. *Современные проблемы токсикологии*, 2, 78-86 [in Ukrainian].
2. Tungmunnithum, D., Thongboonyou, A., Pholboon, A., & Yangsabai, A. (2018). Flavonoids and other phenolic compounds from medicinal plants for pharmaceutical and medical aspects: An overview. *Medicines*, 5(3), 93. doi:10.3390/medicines5030093
3. Rahman, M. M., Rahaman, M. S., Islam, M. R., Rahman, F., Mithi, F. M., Alqahtani, T., ... & Uddin, M. S. (2021). Role of Phenolic Compounds in Human Disease: Current Knowledge and Future Prospects. *Molecules*, 27(1), 233. doi: 10.3390/molecules27010233
4. Mutha, R. E., Tatiya, A. U., & Surana, S. J. (2021). Flavonoids as natural phenolic compounds and their role in therapeutics: an overview. *Future journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 7(1), 1-13. doi: 10.1186/s43094-020-00161-8
5. Cronin, M. T., Aptula, A. O., Duffy, J. C., Netzeva, T. I., Rowe, P. H., Valkova, I. V., & Schultz, T. W. (2002). Comparative assessment of methods to develop QSARs for the prediction of the toxicity of phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. *Chemosphere*, 49(10), 1201-1221. doi: 10.1016/s0045-6535(02)00508-8.
6. Cronin, M. T., & Schultz, T. W. (1996). Structure-toxicity relationships for phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. *Chemosphere*, 32(8), 1453-1468. doi: 10.1016/0045-6535(96)00054-9.
7. Garg, R., Kurup, A., & Hansch, C. (2001). Comparative QSAR: on the toxicology of the phenolic OH moiety. *Critical reviews in toxicology*, 31(2), 223-245. doi: 10.1080/20014091111686
8. Aptula, A. O., Netzeva, T. I., Valkova, I. V., Cronin, M. T., Schultz, T. W., Kühne, R., & Schüürmann, G. (2002). Multivariate discrimination between modes of toxic action of phenols. *Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships*, 21(1), 12-22. doi: 10.1002/1521-3838(200205)21:1<12::AID-QSAR12>3.0.CO;2-M
9. Dieguez-Santana, K., Pham-The, H., Villegas-Aguilar, P. J., Le-Thi-Thu, H., Castillo-Garit, J. A., & Casañola-Martin, G. M. (2016). Prediction of acute toxicity of phenol derivatives using multiple linear regression approach for *Tetrahymena pyriformis* contaminant identification in a median-size database. *Chemosphere*, 165, 434-441. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.041
10. Abbasitabar, F., & Zare-Shahabadi, V. (2017). In silico prediction of toxicity of phenols to *Tetrahymena pyriformis* by using genetic algorithm and decision tree-