

UDC 340.6: 614.23/.25: 616-036.8

A.O. Pletenetska¹, I.S. Demchenko², N.M. Ergard³

**EVALUATION OF THE QUALITY OF MEDICAL CARE IN CASES OF
DEATH FROM ACUTE BLOOD LOSS (ON DATA OF FORENSIC-
MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS)**

^{1,2,3}*Department of Forensic Medicine and Medical Law, Bogomolets National
Medical University, Kyiv, Ukraine*

5 Mechnikova str., Kyiv, 01133 Ukraine

¹*email: fantasyalinka@gmail.com*

ORCID: 0000-0002-7029-3377

²*email: demchenko.ivan@gmail.com*

ORCID: 0000-0001-8721-2775

³*email: ergard2017@ukr.net*

ORCID: 0000-0002-6002-0463

The head of department Mykhailychenko Borys, MD

Резюме. Оцінка якості надання медичної допомоги у випадках смерті від гострої крововтрати (за даними судово-медичних експертиз). Плетенецька А.О., Демченко І.С., Ергард Н.М.

Особливості та специфіка медичної галузі ускладнюють не тільки оцінку якості та своєчасності надання медичної допомоги, але й правильність вибору методу лікування та діагностики захворювання. Кількість судово-медичних експертиз у «медичних справах» має тенденцію до збільшення, і експерти у цій справі мають труднощі з судово-медичною оцінкою медичної допомоги. Був проведений судово-медичний аналіз якості надання медичної допомоги пацієнтам, які померли від гострої крововтрати, за даними судово-медичних експертиз різних судово-медичних бюро України. Вищезазначені дослідження стосувались трупів людей, які загинули внаслідок гострої крововтрати, включаючи шок (було обрано 150 із 6129). Гостра крововтрата була обрана як основна причина смерті при травмі (включаючи поєднання з шоком).

При оцінці грубих дефектів медичної допомоги, які були виявлені, що вплинули на результат (таких було 13, тобто майже половина всіх випадків), 40,0% (60) у вигляді неналежного надання (дії) були відзначені у 10 випадків (це випадок неправильного діагностування лікарями через заниження даних обстеження), дефектів у вигляді ненадання медичної допомоги (бездіяльність) - у 83,3% (15 випадків). У статистичному аналізі дефектів у надання медичної допомоги, більшість випадків були пов'язані із затримкою надання медичної допомоги - 41,7%. Набір дефектів крововтрати був у таких випадках: а) відсутність інструментальних досліджень, медичного лікування та хірургічного втручання, б) відсутність медичного лікування та хірургічних втручання за показаннями (кожен з 3,3%). При розгляді причин, що призвели до дефектів крововтрати, поодиноке заниження даних обстеження було у 16,7% (25), поєднання причин: а) заниження даних обстеження разом із заниженням додаткових даних досліджень - 16,7% (25); б) заниження даних обстеження у зв'язку з недбалим ставленням до пацієнта, який мав недбалий вигляд - 6,7%. Непрофесійність медичного персоналу мала місце у 16,7% випадках гострої кровотечі.

При наданні медичної допомоги у випадках смерті від гострої крововтрати дефекти у наданні медичної допомоги допускаються досвідченими медичними працівниками в міських лікарнях (особливо великих міст України), де є належні умови для надання медичної допомоги, більш висококваліфіковані спеціалісти різного профілю та існують протоколи надання медичної допомоги при гострій крововтраті.

Ключові слова: судово-медична оцінка, медична допомога, дефект, гостра кровотеча, смерть.

Abstract

The peculiarities and specificity of the medical field complicate not only the assessment of quality and timeliness of medical care, but also the correctness of the choice of the method of treatment and diagnosis of the disease. The number of

forensic examinations in «medical cases» has the tendency to increase, and experts in this case have difficulty in forensic medical assessment of medical care. Forensic analysis of the medical care to patients who died of acute blood loss, based on examinations of different forensic medical bureaus of Ukraine had been analyzed. The aforementioned examinations related to the corpses of people who died as a result of acute blood loss, including shock (150 from the total number of 6129 medical examinations were selected). This cause of a death was chosen as one of the leading causes of death in a trauma (including a combination with shock).

When evaluating gross medical care defects that were found during the examinations that influenced the result (there were 13, ie almost half of all cases), 40.0% (60), in the form of improper provision (action) were noted in 10 cases (this is a case of incorrectly diagnosed by doctors due to underestimation of survey data), defects in the form of non-provision of medical care (inactivity) - in 83.3% (15 cases). In the statistical analysis of defects in the provision of medical care, the majority of cases were connected with delayed the provision of medical care – 41,7%. The set of defects in blood loss was in the following cases: a) lack of instrumental research, medical treatment and surgery, b) lack of medical treatment and surgery on the indications (each of 3,3%). When considering the reasons that led to defects in blood loss, the isolated underestimation of the survey data was in 16,7% (25), a combination of reasons: a) underestimation of the survey data in conjunction with the underestimation of additional research data – 16,7% (25); b) underestimation of the survey data in conjunction with the negligent attitude to the patient who had a sloppy appearance – 6,7%. The unprofessional nature of the medical staff took place in 16,7% of the blood loss.

When providing medical care in cases of death from acute blood loss, defects in the provision of medical care are allowed by experienced medical professionals in city hospitals (especially large cities of Ukraine), where there are adequate conditions for the provision of medical care, more highly qualified

specialists of different profiles, and there are protocols for providing medical care in acute blood loss.

Key words: forensic medical evaluation, medical care, defect, acute blood loss, death.

Human factor is still the component of the medical care that sometimes leads to situations of disability, extension of the treatment period or even death that causes damage to the state and worsens the demographic situation in the country [6]. Also, it's necessary to note the complexity of the problem of offenses committed by medical personnel from a legal point of view [1,2,5,13]. The peculiarities and specificity of the medical field complicate not only the assessment of quality and timeliness of medical care, but also the correctness of the choice of the method of treatment and diagnosis of the disease. But even with a clear definition of the fault of a health worker, there are difficulties in determining his responsibility, that is due to the imperfection of the legal framework, in particular, which clearly articulates a single approach to conducting forensic examinations for «medical matters» [3,10]. It should be noted that the number of forensic examinations in «medical cases» has the tendency to increase, and experts in this case have difficulty in forensic medical assessment of medical care [4,12]. The aim of the study was to conduct forensic medical analysis of the provision of medical care to patients who died of acute blood loss, according to the forensic medical examinations of different forensic medical bureaus of Ukraine for further identifying health care professionals' errors and the reasons for these mistakes to improve health care delivery in Ukraine at all levels. Death from acute blood loss, as deaths from hemorrhage [11], is potentially preventable, so this study also focus on opportunities for preventing death from acute blood loss.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This paper deals almost exclusively with the evaluation of materials of forensic medical examinations. This article excludes questions regarding

effectiveness of healthcare delivery at population level, physician-patient interactions and quality control mechanisms (internal and external ones).

The materials of the research were the forensic medical examinations for «medical cases» for 10 current years, executed at «The Main Bureau of Forensic Medical Examination of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine», Kyiv City Clinical Bureau of Forensic Medical Examination, Zhytomyr regional Bureau of Forensic - medical examination, Kharkiv Regional Bureau of Forensic Medical Examination. The aforementioned examinations related to the corpses of people who died as a result of acute blood loss, including shock (150 from the total number of 6129 medical examinations were selected). This cause of a death was chosen as one of the leading causes of death in a trauma (including a combination with shock).

In the process of research, the data from the examinations were distributed according to the following criteria: year, sex, date of death, time of death, presence or absence of concomitant pathology, age of the deceased, date of injury, time of injury, date of arrival of ambulance, arrival time of ambulance, the level of the medical institution (city clinic, central district hospital, regional clinical hospital, specialized (institutes of neurosurgery, traumatology, etc.), dispensary, emergency medical aid (EMA), delivery time to the hospital, time to medical aid, APS (arterial pressure systolic), APD (diastolic arterial pressure), heart rate, state of the patient, consciousness, presence of the described bodily injuries, expert review, additional research, presence of a defect in medical care.

For the analysis of the received material its grouping on attributive and variational signs was carried out. As a result of summarizing the material when calculating the units of observation, absolute numbers were obtained, which expressed descriptive and quantitative features. Further processing of experimental data was carried out in accordance with the rules of variation statistics as described in the manuals [1,7].

Statistical processing included the calculation of primary statistics (arithmetic mean $M(X)$ and error of mean or standard error (mM)).

When characterizing the values and properties of the alternative variation, the proportion of variants with the studied characteristics (p), was expressed as a percentage (%) to their total number by the formula:

$$p = \frac{n}{N} 100\%$$

where n - is the absolute number of variants having the required feature, N - is the total number of sample objects analyzed.

The average percentage error (m_p) was calculated by the formula:

$$m_p = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{N}} ; \quad m_p = \sqrt{\frac{p(100-p)}{N}} (\%)$$

In addition, for all samples, the conformity of empirical distributions to the normal law (Gaussian distribution) was assessed according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov criteria.

According to the results of the analysis, it was found that the distribution of most indicators did not comply with the normal law, so when describing them, the median (Me) and interquartile range (IQR) were indicated.

To test the hypothesis of equality of the general means of two independent (unrelated) samples, which are distributed according to the normal law, we used the Student's two-sample criterion. If the probability of coincidences did not exceed 5% ($p \leq 0.05$), the null hypothesis was rejected, the difference between the samples was considered not random and the average samples were considered to be significantly different from each other.

The difference between the percentages of the variants, expressed as a percentage, was estimated using the agreement criterion (chi-square) and the analogue of the Student's criterion, the z criterion. The results were considered statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

Data processing and analysis were performed in OpenOffice software packages (Base, Calc, Writer, Draw, Math), GNU Octave with saving of source

documents in * .doc, * .xls format. This software is open source and used under the GNU General Public License.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The outcome of medical care, in terms of recovery, restoration of function and of survival, has been frequently used as an indicator of the quality of medical care. [8,9] Forensic medical examination also allows to evaluate the quality of medical care based not on outcomes (which is, obviously – death of the person), but on reasons. However, such evaluation is based on the autopsy performed. The results of forensic medical examination make it possible to assume that the organizational and / or clinical actions of medical personnel are erroneous. However, it is impossible to unambiguously state that at the time the medical personnel provided assistance in acute blood loss, the actions of the medical personnel were deliberately erroneous.

Acute blood loss – is arbitrarily defined as the loss of one blood volume within a 24 h period the normal adult blood volume being approximately 7% of ideal body weight in adults and 8–9% in children. Alternative definitions that may be more helpful in the acute situation include a 50% blood volume loss within 3 h or a rate of loss of 150 ml/min. Acute blood loss could be genuine cause of death or a contributing factor to another primary cause of death. In this study, acute blood loss is a genuine cause of death.

In the statistical analysis of the commission of forensic medical examinations the following was revealed. Among all investigated cases of blood loss, $66,7 \pm 8,5\%$ were men (100) and $33,3 \pm 8,6\%$ were women (50).

The time of death in acute blood loss from 8.00 to 17.00 (during working hours) was $16,7 \pm 6,8\%$ (25 cases), from 17.01 to 7.59 – $60,0 \pm 8,9\%$ (90 cases), in $23,3 \pm 7,7\%$ (35 cases) time of death was not specified. Thus, mortality is higher at night ($p < 0,05$), which is broadly in line with generally available worldwide data. The groups did not differ in age ($p > 0,05$). In the blood loss group, the minimum age was 9 years, the maximum age was 77, Me = 34,0, IQR: 27,0 – 50,0.

Concomitant pathology was present in deaths from acute blood loss – $23,3 \pm 7,7\%$ (35). It should be noted, that in medical records the date and time of arrival of the outpatients in cases of acute blood loss - the date was stated only in $26,7 \pm 8,1\%$ (40), and the time - in $23,3 \pm 7,7\%$ (35), in the other cases, the accompanying ambulance cards didn't have any medical records with data (although in all investigated cases patients were delivered ambulances to the hospital), which is the crucial point in assessing the timeliness of medical care. So, in the absence of such data, shortly after the injury, the forensic evaluation of the provision of medical care is complicated.

It should be noted that all of the world's standards of trauma care (Advanced Trauma Life Support) assistance are performed by the CABC algorithm, where the first C is critical bleeding. Thus, not specifying when to start bleeding and assisting is a violation of these standards of care, and on the other hand, complicates the legal classification in the case of the criminal nature of the injury: homicide or personal injury causing death.

When evaluating the medical institutions in which the victims were located, the following were found: in most cases patients were taken to the city clinical hospitals – $36,7 \pm 8,8\%$ (55) (table 1).

Table 1

Distribution of patients who were delivered to different medical institutions

Hospitals	Abs.	P, %	m, %
City clinical hospitals	55	36,7	8,8
Central clinical hospitals	25	16,7	6,8
Regional clinical hospitals	10	6,7	4,6
Specialized medical institutions	5	3,3	3,3
Hospital emergency ambulance	30	20,0	7,3
Not specified	25	16,6	5,5

Note: the difference between the relevant indicators of patients in different institutions is significant ($p < 0,05$)

When evaluating gross medical care defects that were found during the examinations that influenced the result (there were 13, ie almost half of all cases), 40.0% (60), in the form of improper provision (action) were noted in 10 cases (this is a case of incorrectly diagnosed by doctors due to underestimation of survey data), defects in the form of non-provision of medical care (inactivity) - in 83.3% (15 cases). In the statistical analysis of defects in the provision of medical care, the majority of cases were connected with delayed the provision of medical care – 41,7%.

The set of defects in blood loss was in the following cases: a) lack of instrumental research, medical treatment and surgery, b) lack of medical treatment and surgery on the indications (each of 3,3%) (table 2).

It should be noted, that in these cases, incorrect treatment, as a defect in the provision of medical care wasn't at the first place, because the investigated patients had lethal blood loss with urgent conditions, which needed, first of all, adequate examination and urgent surgery. At blood loss, however, cases of inadequate medical treatment still account for a quarter of all cases. These were cases of inadequate and incorrectly chosen treatment, such as hemopoetic therapy, the introduction of drugs for blood replenishment and detoxification, etc., antibiotic therapy. The antibiotic therapy in blood loss took place as a defect in those isolated cases where the patient lived after the operation for a certain time, and there was a connection of secondary bacterial infection.

Table 2

Distribution of defects of medical care to patients with acute blood loss

Type of defect	Blood loss (n=60)	
	Abs.	P, %
Lack of instrumental research	15	25,0
Lack of medical treatment	15	25,0
Lack of operation	15	25,0
Delay in the provision of medical care	30	20,0

Note: the difference between the relevant indicators of defects of medical care is significant ($p < 0,05$)

When considering the reasons that led to defects in blood loss, the isolated underestimation of the survey data was in 16,7% (25), a combination of reasons: a) underestimation of the survey data in conjunction with the underestimation of additional research data – 16,7% (25); b) underestimation of the survey data in conjunction with the negligent attitude to the patient who had a sloppy appearance (homeless, bad breath from the body, smell of alcohol, etc.) – 6,7%.

The unprofessional nature of the medical staff took place in 16,7% of the blood loss. It should be noted that unprofessionalism wasn't connected with the qualification of medical workers, but rather the imperfect possession of their professional skills (tactfully incorrectly chosen treatment, mechanical and other mistakes in conducting surgical interventions), as well as ignorance of their duties, job descriptions, etc.

In assessing the timing of the provision of medical care, it should be noted that untimely medical care was provided to patients with a loss of blood in 36,7% (55 cases).

Of the cases investigated, a direct causal link between the defect of medical care and the adverse effect was established in 66,7% (40), indirect - in 33,3% (20).

In a more detailed analysis, it turned out that most cases of direct causal link in blood loss - 75% (30) - in the form of non-provision of medical care and only 25% - action (inappropriate medical care). In the presence of direct causal link in acute blood loss - 33,33% - with inconsistency of the clinical diagnosis to forensic medical, 66,67% (30) - with incomplete coincidence, no cases with the full coincidence of diagnosis .

In case of acute blood loss, in half (20) of cases, no surgery was performed when indications were present, and in half of cases (4) - was performed untimely. In 37.5% (15) of cases, the patients were in moderate condition and only 28.7% were in severe condition. In the vast majority of cases 87.5% (35) they had no

additional studies or they were underestimated, in most cases - 75% (30) there was a delay in the provision of medical care to patients, in the quarter of cases -25% there was a lack of medical treatment. In 37.5% (15 cases), patients had normal systolic, diastolic, and heart rates, and 87,5% (35) patients were in consciousness, and 37,5% (15) had a state of patients was regarded as moderate. That is, patients at the time of admission to the hospital were stable. In blood loss in 75% (30) of all patients, bodily injuries were not described completely. At the same time, it should be noted that in the overwhelming majority of cases - 75% (30) in blood loss patients had no concomitant pathology and all were able-bodied age (up to 50 years).

Thus, in cases of acute blood loss: 12,5% were medical institutions specialized care and institutions for emergency medical care, 37,5% - city hospitals of large cities and central regional hospitals.

In the analysis of cases with the indirect causal link, it turned out that in case of blood loss - all cases were cases of inactivity. In 75% (15) - incomplete accordance of the clinical diagnosis and the forensic diagnosis, only 25% of the diagnosis was accordance to the forensic medical diagnosis. In the case of acute blood loss, in half of the cases, no surgery was performed for the indications, and in half cases was carried out untimely. In all cases, blood loss was the absence of additional studies or their underestimation. In the case of acute blood loss, in a quarter of cases, there was an incorrect medical treatment. In 75% (15) in blood loss, bodily injuries have not been fully described, and 25% - not described at all. In this case, it should be noted that in all cases, patients did not have concomitant pathology and in the vast majority were able-bodied age (up to 40 years old).

In all these cases, the medical staff also had sufficient experience and qualification categories (not lower than the first), among them there were no inexperienced specialists (interns). Half of the cases with acute blood loss were the finding of patients in city hospitals (especially in large cities), in the other half they were Central district hospitals.

However, it should be noted that the above data are not categorical and because only the so-called «high-profile cases» with criminal cases were analyzed. It's clear that, with insufficient capacity to provide adequate medical care, healthcare workers are sometimes unable to perform their professional duties perfectly. Therefore, first of all, it is desirable that these studies help practical clinicians avoid making typical mistakes, which will increase quality of medical care in Ukraine, as it is also one of the main tasks of forensic medicine and expertise alongside the assistance of investigative bodies in crime detection.

CONCLUSIONS

Thus, in the statistical analysis of the forensic medical examinations carried out in different bureaus of Ukraine, it was found that in Ukraine there is a low level of medical care with under-examination of patients and underestimation of their condition, and also a delay in helping patients. Contrary to the general opinion, that mostly mistaken are young and inexperienced doctors working in small regions of Ukraine, where there are no proper conditions for providing the appropriate level of medical care, the defects are tolerated by quite experienced medical professionals in city hospitals (especially large cities of Ukraine), where there are adequate conditions for the provision of medical care, more highly qualified specialists of different profiles, and there are protocols for providing medical care in acute blood loss. Such could be basis for criminal prosecution as medical negligence, as medical personnel performed their duties bellow acceptable standard of care. The poor quality of the medical records greatly complicates the forensic assessment of the provision of medical care.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Dolgova VN., Medvedeva TYu. Statistics: textbook and workshop: 2nd ed., rev. and add. Moscow: Yurayt Publishing House; 2019; 626. Russian.

2. Dunaievskia LH. [Investigation of crimes committed during the provision of medical care: a monograph] Kyiv: ADS UMKTsentr; 2013; 168. Ukrainian.
3. Mostovenko O. [The concept, characteristics and classification of medical errors.] Business, Economy and Law. 2018; 10: 37-40. Ukrainian.
4. Pletenetska AO, Bodnar SS, Berch AS, Ivashenko OA, Kutsyk EM [The results of the analysis of the commission forensic medical examinations of the Transcarpathian Regional Bureau of SME on the fact of improper performance of professional duties by medical workers]. Visnyk problem biolohiyi i medytsyny. 2014; 4(4):388-391. Ukrainian.
5. Stebliuk VV. [Legal and moral and ethical aspects of criminal offenses in the field of professional activity of medical workers]. Sudovo-medychna ekspertyza. 2013; 2: 45-48. Ukrainian.
6. Sadchikov DV, Kloktunova NA, Kasimov OV, Zeulina EE, Sadchikov DD [Methodology for the concept and definition of medical error]. Medical alphabet. Emergency medicine. 2018; 38(4):45-48. Russian.
7. Yakovlev VB. Statistics. Calculations in microsoft excel: textbook for secondary vocational education: 2nd ed., rev. and add. Moscow: Yurayt Publishing House; 2019; 353. Russian.
8. Fekri O., Macarayan ER., Klazinga N. Health system performance assessment in the WHO European Region: which domains and indicators have been used by Member States for its measurement? Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2018; 44 p.
9. Flodgren G, Gonçalves-Bradley DC, Pomey MP. External inspection of compliance with standards for improved healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;12:CD008992 URL DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008992.pub3.
10. Lykhova S., Pletenetska A., Sysoieva V. The value of forensic medical expertise for the qualification of crimes in the medical activity. Journal of law and political sciences. 2020; Vol.23. Issue 2/B.:272-302.

11. Kalkwarf KJ, Drake SA, Yang Y, et al. Bleeding to death in a big city: An analysis of all trauma deaths from hemorrhage in a metropolitan area during 1 year. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2020;89(4):716-722. URL DOI:10.1097/TA.0000000000002833
12. Pletenetskaya A.O., Legedza A.V. Analysis of defects rendering medical care according to the data of commission forensic examinations in SI “The Main bureau of forensic examination of Ministry of the Health of Ukraine” for 2012-2014 YY. *Sudovo-medychna ekspertyza.* 2015; 2: 81-88. Ukrainian.
13. Robertson JJ., Long B. Suffering in Silence: Medical Error and its Impact on Health Care Providers. *Journal of Emergency Medicine.* 2018; 54(4): 402-409.

СПИСОК ЛІТЕРАТУРИ

1. Долгова В. Н., Медведева Т.Ю. *Статистика : учебник и практикум: 2-е изд., перераб. и доп.* Москва : Издательство Юрайт, 2019. 626 с.
2. Дунаєвська Л.Г. Розслідування злочинів, вчинених під час надання медичної допомоги: монографія. Київ: АДС УМКЦентр, 2013. 168 с.
3. Мостовенко О. Поняття, ознаки та класифікація лікарських помилок. *Підприємництво, господарство і право.* 2018. №10. С. 37-40.
4. Плетенецька А.О., Боднар С.С., Берч А.С., Івасенко О.А., Куцик Є.М. Результати аналізу комісійних судово-медичних експертиз Закарпатського обласного бюро СМЕ за фактом неналежного виконання професійних обов’язків медичними працівниками. *Вісник проблем біології і медицини.* 2014. Вип. 4(4). С. 388-391
5. Стеблюк В. В. Правові та морально-етичні аспекти кримінальних правопорушень у сфері професійної діяльності медичних працівників. *Судово-медична експертиза.* 2013. № 2. С. 45-48.

6. Садчиков Д.В., Клоктунова Н.А., Касимов О.В., Зеулина Е.Е, Садчиков Д.Д. Методология понятия и определения врачебной ошибки. *Медицинский алфавит. Неотложная медицина*. 2018. Вип. 38(4). С. 45-48.
7. Яковлев, В. Б. *Статистика. Расчеты в microsoft excel*: учебное пособие для среднего профессионального образования: 2-е изд., испр. и доп. Москва: Издательство Юрайт, 2019. 353 с
8. Fekri O., Macarayan E.R., Klazinga N. *Health system performance assessment in the WHO European Region: which domains and indicators have been used by Member States for its measurement?* Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2018. (Health Evidence Network (HEN) synthesis report 55). 44 p.
9. Flodgren G, Gonçaves-Bradley D.C, Pomey MP. External inspection of compliance with standards for improved healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016. № 12:CD008992 URL DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008992.pub3.
10. Lykhova S., Pletenetska A., Sysoieva V. The value of forensic medical expertise for the qualification of crimes in the medical activity. *Journal of law and political sciences*. 2020. Vol.23. Issue 2/B. P.272-302.
11. Kalkwarf K.J., Drake S.A., Yang Y., et al. Bleeding to death in a big city: An analysis of all trauma deaths from hemorrhage in a metropolitan area during 1 year. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2020. № 89(4). P. 716-722. URL DOI:10.1097/TA.0000000000002833
12. Pletenetskaya A.O., Legedza A.V. Analysis of defects rendering medical care according to the data of commission forensic examinations in SI “The Main bureau of forensic examination of Ministry of the Health of Ukraine” for 2012-2014 YY. *Судово-медицна експертиза*. 2015. №2. С.81-88.

13. Robertson J.J., Long B. Suffering in Silence: Medical Error and its Impact on Health Care Providers. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2018 №54(4). P. 402-409.