

POSTERS

Table of Contents

67	Molecular mechanism of inflammation-related diseases	208	Synthetic biopolymers for biomedicine
92	DNA variation	215	Integrative approaches to structural and synthetic biology
103	Cardiovascular diseases	219	Induced pluripotent cells
114	Intracellular ion channels and transporters	221	Long noncoding RNA
121	RNA processing	222	Neurodegeneration
123	Signal transduction	234	Cell therapy and regenerative medicine
135	Mitochondria and signaling	243	Small noncoding RNA
147	DNA architecture	248	Proteins: structure, disorder and dynamics
153	RNA transcription	279	Plant biotechnology
156	DNA editing and modification	289	Natural networks and systems
160	RNA transport and translation	292	RNA in pathogenesis and therapy
165	Single cell analysis and imaging	294	Molecular biology of aging
171	Calcium and ROS signalling	301	Plant–environment interaction
175	Sulfur metabolism and cellular regulation	312	Synthetic networks and systems
179	Molecular neurobiology	313	Multicomponent complexes
188	RNA turnover	318	Cell signaling in tumor biology
191	Cytoskeleton and molecular mechanisms of motility	371	Bionanotechnology
198	Rare diseases	391	Epigenetics and protein glycosylation
204	Signaling in brain cancer	396	Genome editing (CRISPR)
		402	Proteomic technologies
		408	Education, training, and career planning in molecular life sciences
		409	General topics – various

Abstracts submitted to the 44th FEBS Congress, taking place in Krakow, Poland from 6th to 11th July 2019, and accepted by the Congress Organizing Committee are published in this Supplement of *FEBS Open Bio*. Late-breaking abstracts are not included in this issue.

About these abstracts

Abstracts submitted to the Congress are **not peer-reviewed**. In addition, abstracts are published as submitted and are **not copyedited** prior to publication.

We are unable to make **corrections of any kind** to the abstracts once they are published.

Indexing

Abstracts published in *FEBS Open Bio* Supplement for the 44th FEBS Congress will be included individually in the Conference Proceedings Citation Index published by Web of Science.

How to cite these abstracts

AuthorOne, A., AuthorTwo, B. (2019). Abstract title. *FEBS Open Bio*, 9: Abstract number*. doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12675

* Each poster has been given a unique number beginning with the letter P; the next part relates to the session in which the poster will be presented.

P.01	Molecular mechanism of inflammation-related diseases	P.22	Induced pluripotent cells
P.02	DNA variation	P.23	Long noncoding RNA
P.03	Cardiovascular diseases	P.24	Neurodegeneration
P.04	Intracellular ion channels and transporters	P.25	Cell therapy and regenerative medicine
P.05	RNA processing	P.26	Small noncoding RNA
P.06	Signal transduction	P.27	Proteins: structure, disorder and dynamics
P.07	Mitochondria and signaling	P.28	Plant biotechnology
P.08	DNA architecture	P.29	Natural networks and systems
P.09	RNA transcription	P.30	RNA in pathogenesis and therapy
P.10	DNA editing and modification	P.31	Molecular biology of aging
P.11	RNA transport and translation	P.32	Plant–environment interaction
P.12	Single cell analysis and imaging	P.33	Synthetic networks and systems
P.13	Calcium and ROS signalling	P.34	Multicomponent complexes
P.14	Sulfur metabolism and cellular regulation	P.35	Cell signaling in tumor biology
P.15	Molecular neurobiology	P.36	Bionanotechnology
P.16	RNA turnover	P.37	Epigenetics and protein glycosylation
P.17	Cytoskeleton and molecular mechanisms of motility	P.38	Genome editing (CRISPR)
P.18	Rare diseases	P.39	Proteomic technologies
P.19	Signaling in brain cancer	P.40	Education, training, and career planning in molecular life sciences
P.20	Synthetic biopolymers for biomedicine	P.41	General topics – various
P.21	Integrative approaches to structural and synthetic biology		

P-20-022**Fluorescence and circular dichroism spectroscopy studies of interactions between interferon α 2b and yeast oligoribonucleotides**R. Nikolaiev¹, Z. Tkachuk¹, S. Chernykh¹, N. Obernikhina²¹*Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine,* ²*Bogomolets National Medical University, Kyiv, Ukraine*

RNA-based drugs can bind epigenetic regulators and transcriptional proteins and affect their activity. Using fluorescence quenching we determined dissociation constants for interactions of yeast oligoribonucleotides (ORNs), their Na⁺ salts (ORNsNa), and complex with D-mannitol (ORNs-D-M) with Interferon α 2b – a key protein of the antiviral cell defense mechanism. Using ORD CD we analyzed conformational changes of the protein. Mass spectrometric analysis showed that the dominant fraction consisted of RNA oligomers of 4–7 nucleotides in length. The analysis of IFN secondary structure changes was made by external service (Bestsel). For INF/ORNs-D-M the dissociation constant $K_d=6.96\pm 1.019\ \mu\text{M}$ was found, while those for IFN/ORNs and INF/ORNsNa $K_d=5.38\pm 0.423\ \mu\text{M}$ and $K_d=9.64\pm 2.259\ \mu\text{M}$, respectively, were noted. The analysis of IFN secondary structure showed 27.2% of α -helix (α -h), 26.9% of antiparallel β -stand ($\alpha\beta$ -s), 0% of parallel β -stand ($\beta\beta$ -s), 9% of β -turn and 39.9% of random coil (r.c.) Upon addition of ORNs we observed 0% α -h, 33.3% $\alpha\beta$ -s, 0% $\beta\beta$ -s, 21.2% β -turn and 45.5%, r.c., so one can note an increase in β -turn content compared to the native protein. Addition of ORNs-D-M gave 0.8% α -h, 54.4% $\alpha\beta$ -s, 30.4% $\beta\beta$ -s, 5.6% β -turn and 8.9% r.c., so increase in $\alpha\beta$ -s contents was found. On the other hand, addition of ORNsNa led to 1.5% α -h, 44.4% $\alpha\beta$ -s, 42.8% $\beta\beta$ -s, 0% β -turn and 11.3% r.c. (increase in $\alpha\beta$ -s and $\beta\beta$ -s content). CONCLUSIONS: ORNs and ORNs-D-M interact with IFN more strongly than ORNsNa. The observed effects of different forms of ORNs on the secondary structure of INF can be explained by involvement of various binding sites.

Integrative approaches to structural and synthetic biology**P-21-001****Validation of a new gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method to evaluate aromatase activity**

T. V. Augusto*, S. C. Cunha*, C. Amaral, J. O. Fernandes, N. Teixeira, G. Correia-da-Silva

Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

Estrone (E_1) and Estradiol (E_2) are steroidal molecules with regulatory functions in normal breast and in estrogen-receptor positive (ER^+) breast cancers. These estrogens are converted from the androgens, androstenedione (A) and testosterone (T), through an aromatization process catalysed by the enzyme aromatase. Thus, aromatase inhibitors (AIs) are considered the first-line treatment for ER^+ breast cancers. Currently, the screening of new potent AIs is performed through a radiometric assay, in human placental microsomes, that relies on the quantification of tritiated water resultant from the conversion of a radiolabelled substrate, [1β -³H] androstenedione. As this method is expensive and not environmental-friendly, we aimed to develop a new simple and fast GC-MS method to quantify A and E_1 , and consequently, determine aromatase activity. Method performance was evaluated through linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision and accuracy. The method

showed good linearity with a coefficient of determination (r^2) of 0.9884 and 0.9974, for A and E_1 , respectively. LOD were 3 nM and 1.5 nM while LOQs were 10 nM and 5 nM, for A and E_1 , respectively. The relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the intra- and inter-day precision were lower than 18% and the extraction efficiency was higher than 65%, for both hormones. Moreover, the dose-responses curves for Exemestane, Anastrozole and Letrozole, the AIs used in clinic, were compared to the ones obtained by the radiometric assay, being the rate of inhibition similar in both methods. Therefore, this new GC-MS method is sensitive and precise for the simultaneous quantification of androgens and estrogens and suitable to estimate aromatase activity. Tiago Augusto thanks Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) for PhD grant (BD/128333/2017) funded by FCT PhD i3DU Programme. Sara C. Cunha acknowledges FCT for the IF/01616/2015 contract. *The authors marked with an asterisk equally contributed to the work.

P-21-002**Investigation of dipeptides binding to double-stranded DNA using molecular modeling and docking**N. Kolchina^{1,2}, A. Afanasyeva³, N. Linkova^{1,4}, V. Khavinson^{4,5,6}, M. Petukhov^{1,2}¹*Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia,* ²*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, Saint Petersburg, Gatchina, Russia,* ³*National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Ibaraki, Japan,* ⁴*Saint Petersburg Institute of Bioregulation and Gerontology, Saint-Petersburg, Russia,* ⁵*Pavlov Institute of Physiology of RAS, Saint-Petersburg, Russia,* ⁶*North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov, Saint-Petersburg, Russia*

Peptides are promising drug candidates due to its high chemical diversity, selectivity, broad range of targets, low toxicity and accumulation in tissues than that of small drug like organic compounds. It is known that DNA is a target for many ligands of different chemical nature, including globular proteins, low molecular weight organic compounds and metal ions. However, there are only a few crystal structures of DNA complexes with short peptides in PDB. This is particularly surprising given large number of known interactions of short peptides with globular proteins used by living cell in the course of evolution. In this work we systematically analyzed ability of dipeptides (all possible combinations of the 20 standard amino acids) to bind with all possible combinations of tetra-nucleotides in central part of double-stranded DNA in classic B-form using molecular docking (ICM-Pro, Molsoft LLC) and molecular dynamics (AMBER, University of California). Totally 108800 DNA complexes of dipeptides with free and blocked N- and C-termini were analyzed. Our results indicate that vast majority of dipeptides showed no abilities of DNA binding. As can be expected the most preferable DNA binders are positively charged peptides containing Arg⁺ and Lys⁺, although with very low selectivity. However, we also were able to identify dipeptides capable of selective binding with only one or two DNA sequence motifs. These results were confirmed by MD simulations of the low energy complexes in a periodic water box. We present complete maps of dipeptide-DNA interactions including structural features essential for DNA binding. It was found that N- and C-terminal blocking groups significantly increase selectivity of the dipeptide binding to DNA indicating potentially much higher DNA binding selectivity of tri- and tetra-peptides. These findings may improve our understanding of mechanisms of interactions of short peptides with DNA that may have both theoretical and practical significance.