

VOLUME LXXVI, ISSUE 4, APRIL 2023

ISSN 0043-5147
E-ISSN 2719-342X

Wiadomości Lekarskie Medical Advances



Official journal of Polish Medical Association has been published since 1928



ALUNA Publishing House



Memory of
dr Władysław
Biegański

Wiadomości Lekarskie is abstracted and indexed in: PUBMED/MEDLINE, SCOPUS, EMBASE, INDEX COPERNICUS,
POLISH MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE, POLISH MEDICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

Copyright: © ALUNA Publishing House.

Articles published on-line and available in open access are published under Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

The journal *Wiadomości Lekarskie* is cofinanced under Contract No.RCN/SN/0714/2021/1
by the funds of the Minister of Education and Science

Borys I. Palamar, Liliia M. Hrynevych, Liudmyla L. Khoruzha, Mariia V. Bratko, Svitlana P. Palamar, Olena O. Muzyka, Inna V. Leontieva PSYCHOEMOTIONAL PROBLEMS OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS UNDER MARTIAL LAW: FROM EMERGENCE TO OVERCOMING	778
Iurii L. Kuchyn, Lesya V. Lymar, Tetiana V. Kolomiiets INDIVIDUAL FACTORS OF MEDICAL PHD STUDENTS' ENGLISH COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE	786
Eugenia I. Vezhnovets, Yuri B. Yashchenko EFFECTIVENESS OF USING THE BED FUND AND HUMAN RESOURCES FOR PROVIDING MEDICAL CARE TO CHILDREN WITH RESPIRATORY DISEASES	792
Nataliia M. Kalashnykova CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VOLUME AND OUTCOMES OF MEDICAL CARE FOR PATIENTS WITH SKIN CANCER IN UKRAINE IN 2010-2020	799
Olena S. Skrypnikova, Tetiana P. Yurochko ORGANIZATION OF OPHTHALMOLOGICAL CARE IN UKRAINE	805
Tatiana A. Vezhnovets, Vira Yu. Vozniuk, Vitalyi G. Gurianov, Oleksandr V. Korotkyi EFFECT OF URBANIZATION ON DENSITY OF THE GENERAL PRACTITIONERS, AVERAGE LIFE EXPECTANCY AND MORTALITY FROM THE DISEASES OF CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	811
Tatyana O. Yastrub USING OF CALCULATION MODELS OF THE PENETRATION OF SUBSTANCES THROUGH THE SKIN IN THE ASSESSMENT OF THE RISK OF DERMAL INFLUENCE OF PESTICIDES ON WORKERS	817
Halyna L. Voskoboinikova, Liudmyla V. Konovalova, Victoria V. Dovzhuk, Natela S. Dovzhuk RATIONAL PHARMACOTHERAPY ON THE BASIS OF PHARMACOECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION AND MARKETING RESEARCH ON THE APPLICATION OF IMMUNOMODULATORY PHYTOPREPARATIONS	824
Olha S. Bilous, Olena P. Vavrinevych, Sergiy T. Omelchuk, Vasyl D. Aleksiihuk, Alina I. Syrota PROFESSIONAL RISKS FOR AGRICULTURAL PERSONNEL TREATING BERRIES AND MELON CROPS WITH PESTICIDES	831
REVIEW ARTICLES	
Dmytro D. Diachuk, Anna S. Zdelova, Mykhailo D. Diachuk EXPERIENCE OF INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH DIABETIC RETINOPATHY (EXAMPLE OF ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE INDICATORS OF A MULTI PROFESSIONAL HEALTHCARE FACILITY)	838
Victor A. Ohniev, Tetyana V. Peresyphkina, Nataliya M. Martynenko, Anna A. Podpriadova SOME ASPECTS OF MEDICAL REHABILITATION IN UKRAINE AND PROSPECTS FOR CHANGES IN REHABILITATION SERVICES IN THE WORLD	843

PROFESSIONAL RISKS FOR AGRICULTURAL PERSONNEL TREATING BERRIES AND MELON CROPS WITH PESTICIDES

DOI: 10.36740/WLek202304120

Olha S. Bilous, Olena P. Vavrinevych, Sergiy T. Omelchuk, Vasyl D. Aleksiihuk, Alina I. Syrota

BOGOMOLETS NATIONAL MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE

ABSTRACT

The aim: Hygienic assessment of labour conditions and risks for the Ukrainian agricultural personnel treating berries and melon crops with fungicides, herbicides and insecticides for justification of their safe use regulations.

Materials and methods: Natural studies of labour conditions and risk correspond to the acting laws of Ukraine. The results were statistically treated using IBM SPSS StatisticsBase v.22.

Results: The natural studies of fungicides, insecticides, used for treatment of berries and melon crops, show that labour air environment corresponds to hygienic standards. The authors have established that the hazard index of complex fungicides effect on spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers is 0.110 ± 0.046 and 0.155 ± 0.071 , that of herbicides – 0.34 ± 0.025 and 0.380 ± 0.257 , that of insecticides – 0.221 ± 0.111 and 0.222 ± 0.110 , respectively; hazard index of combined effect of several acting substances makes up – 0.239 ± 0.088 and 0.336 ± 0.140 for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers, respectively. The statistical analysis shows that the hazard coefficients of inhalation and percutaneous penetration do not differ statistically between spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers ($p > 0.05$). The percutaneous risk (%) of various pesticide groups for spray fueling attendants ranges 65.74–97.58 %, for tractor drivers – 50.72–95.23 %.

Conclusions: The analysis has shown that the professional risk of fungicides, herbicides, insecticides, during agricultural treatment of the berries and melon crops does not exceed standards.

KEY WORDS: Fungicides, Insecticides, Herbicides, labour conditions

Wiad Lek. 2023;76(4):831-837

INTRODUCTION

Pesticide plant treatment is an obligatory condition of today agriculture [1]. Pesticide treatment has been emphasized recently, which is confirmed by the laws and legal acts on their environmentally conscious application. Preliminary analysis of relation between pesticide treatment and personnel health risks is complicated [2]. Pesticides applied within agriculture may lead to acute poisoning, chronic diseases, neurological disorders, cancer, etc [3-5]. So, the issues of environmental protection and personnel chemical safety are relevant. Assessment of labour conditions makes a necessary component of the pesticides' negative effects risk justification [6].

THE AIM

Hygienic assessment of labour conditions and risks for the personnel dealing with fungicides Kitch, Trinol, Switch, Signum, Sercadis Plus, and Ridomil Gold; herbicides Stomp Aqua, and Herbolex; insecticides Protect, and Vertimek, regarding safe treatment of berries and

melon crops in agriculture of Ukraine, and justification of their safe use regulations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The authors studied natural labour conditions of the personnel, treating the berries and melon crops with pesticides. The pesticides treatment conditions are represented in table I. The authors hygienically assessed labour conditions and professional risk of the preparations, according to the acting laws of Ukraine [7]. During the treatment manipulations, all personnel were wearing special clothes: synthetic overalls and shoes, rubber gloves and respirators. All personnel were trained and permitted to deal with pesticides and agricultural chemical items. Before and after pesticide treatment procedure, we examined the personnel: measured arterial pressure, pulse, assessed skin condition, noted their general complaints. The climate conditions during pesticide treatment (table II) corresponded to standards [8].

We assessed labour conditions of tractor drivers and pesticide spray filling attendants, treating berries and melon crops with pesticides, by the acting substances content in

Table I. Conditions of pesticide treatment of berries and melon crops in agriculture of Ukraine

Preparation name	Acting substances	Berry/melon crop	Preparation use standards, kg(l)/ha	Liquid use standards, l/ha	Facilities
Fungicides					
Kitch	Fludioxonil Cyprodinil	strawberry blueberry raspberry blackberry	1,0	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Trinol	Fenhexamid	strawberry	1,5	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Switch	Fludioxonil Cyprodinil	blueberry	1,0	250	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Signum	Boskalid Piraclostrobin	strawberry	1,5	1000	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Sercadis Plus	Difenoconazole Fluxapyroxad	watermelon	1,2	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Ridomil Gold	Metalaxyl-M Copper chloride oxide	watermelon	5,0	250	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH 400", tractor DongFang
Herbicides					
Stomp Aqua	Pendimethalin	strawberry	3,5	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Herbolex	Glyphosate	melon	8,0	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-500", tractor MTZ-82
Insecticides					
Protect	Spirodiclophen	strawberry	0,6	200	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82
Vertimek	Abamectin	strawberry	1,0	300	Calibrated sprayer "OPSH-2000", tractor MTZ-82

Table II. Climate conditions during pesticide treatment of berries and melon crops ($M \pm m$, $n=3$)

Preparation	Air temperature, °C	Atmospheric pressure, mm Hg	Relative humidity, %	Air movement speed, m/sec
Fungicides				
Kitch	16±1	764±5	70±5	1,5±0,1
Trinol	20±2	760±7	40±1	2,0±0,4
Switch	20±1	740±4	60±4	1,5±0,2
Signum	17±1	745±3	55±2	1,5±0,1
Sercadis Plus	25±2	740±5	60±5	1,5±0,3
Ridomil Gold	20±1	760±4	60±5	1,0±0,1
Herbicides				
Stomp Aqua	25±3	750±5	59±2	1,0±0,2
Herbolex	23±1	750±4	75±4	1,0±0,1
Insecticides				
Protect	23±2	745±5	41±2	2,0±0,4
Vertimek	22±1	743±2	75±4	2,0±0,1

Notes: 1. M – mean average; 2. m – mean average accuracy; 3. n – number of parallel trials.

labour environment, atmospheric air (in the area of possible preparation dissemination), in washouts from open and covered skin, and in clothes stripes. The absorbing surface represented with three-layer applicators (upper layer – cotton tissue, middle – medical gauze, internal one – "blue filter"), 1 dm². Air sampling, preparation and chromatography

analysis of samples corresponded to the guides in table III.

To determine exposition inhalation substance dose, we simultaneously collected 2 parallel samples. The study established no penetration of active substances into the respiratory organs of calibrated spraying and filling attendants during preparation of working solution and filling the spray.

Table III. Hygienic standards and margins of acting substances in labour environment air, atmospheric air, soil, washouts from skin and clothes stripes

Acting substance	Study object									
	Working environment air			Atmospheric air				Soil		Washouts, stripes
	TSEL, mg/m ³	Nº Guid	LOQ, mg/kg ³	TSEL, mg/m ³	Nº Guid	LOQ, mg/m ³	TAC, mg/kg	Nº Guid	LOQ, mg/kg	LOQ,mg
Fungicides										
Cyprodinil	0.1	82-97	0.02	0.05	82-97	0.02	0.2	65-97	0.05	0.002
Fludioxonil	1.0	48-97	0.1	0.002	430-2003	0.0016	0.2	31-97	0.2	0.002
Fenhexamid	2.0	605-2005	0.2	0.005	605-2005	0.004	0.65	604-2005	0.1	0.002
Difenoconazole	0.2	294-2001	0.1	0.001	294-2001	0.0008	0.3	6147-91	0.02	0.002
Boskalid	1.5	484-2004	0.5	0.01	484-2004	0.008	0.4	453-2003	0.1	0.002
Piraclostrobin	1.0	484-2004	0.5	0.01	484-2004	0.008	0.6	453-2003	0.1	0.002
Metalaxyl-M	0.5	138-99	0.25	0.01	138-99	0.008	0.05	206-2000	0.05	0.002
Fluxapyroxad	0.7	1208-2012	0.25	0.01	1208-2012	0.008	0.3	1238-2013	0.1	0.002
Copper chloride oxide	0.5	4823-88	0.004	0.003	3865-85	0.001	3.0	4770.6:2007	0.1	0.0003
Herbicides										
Pendimethalin	0.5	2781-83	0.025	0.002	562-2005	0.0013	0.2	139-99	0.01	0.002
Glyphosate	1.0	4379-87	0.25	0.01	4379-87	0.001	0.5	4363-87	0.07	0.001
Insecticides										
Spirodiclophen	0.2	970-2009	0.01	0.0007	970-2009	0.00056	0.4	1023-2010	0.02	0.001
Abamectin	0.04	1106-2011	0.001	0.0002	1106-2011	0.00016	0.3	1108-2011	0.01	0.00005

Notes: 1. Guid – Guidelines; 2. LOQ – limit of quantification; 3. TSEL – Tentatively safe exposure levels; 4. TAC – Tentatively allowable concentration.

Table IV. Air content of fungicides during agricultural treatment of berries and melon crops ($M \pm m$, $n=6$)

Preparation	Acting substance	Air within respiration environment, mg/m ³		Air above the processed environment (field center), mg/m ³ , after				Possible disseminated area, 300m from the field borders (side affected by wind), mg/m ³ , after			
		At	T	1 hour	3 hours	3 days	7 days	1 hour	3 hour	3 days	7 days
Fungicides											
Kitch	Fludioxonil	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	-	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.016*	-	<0.016*	<0.016*
	Cyprodinil	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	-	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	-	<0.02*	<0.02*
Trinol	Fenhexamid	<0.2*	<0.2*	<0.2*	-	<0.2*	<0.2*	<0.004*	-	<0.004*	<0.004*
Switch	Cyprodinil	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*	<0.02*
	Fludioxonil	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.016*	-	<0.016*	<0.016*
Signum	Boskalid	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.008*	-	<0.008*	<0.008*
	Piraclostrobin	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.5*	<0.008*	-	<0.008*	<0.008*
Sercadis Plus	Difenoconazole	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.0008*	<0.0008*	<0.0008*	<0.0008*
	Fluxapyroxad	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.008*	<0.008*	<0.008*	<0.008*
Ridomil Gold	Metalaxyl-M	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	-	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.008*	-	<0.008*	<0.008*
	Copper	<0.004*	<0.004*	<0.004*	-	<0.004*	<0.004*	<0.001*	-	<0.001*	<0.001*
Herbicides											
Stomp Aqua	Pendimethalin	<0.025*	<0.025*	<0.025*	<0.025*	<0.025*	<0.025*	<0.0013*	<0.0013*	<0.0013*	<0.0013*
Herbolex	Glyphosate	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.25*	<0.001*	-	<0.001*	<0.001*
Insecticides											
Protect	Spirodiclophen	<0.01*	<0.01*	<0.01*	<0.01*	<0.01*	<0.01*	<0.00056*	-	<0.00056*	<0.00056*
Vertimek	Abamectin	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	-	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.00016*	-	<0.00016*	<0.00016*

Notes: 1. – At. – Pesticide Filling Attendant; 2. T – tractor driver; 3. «*» - below the limit of quantification (tab. 3); 4. «-» - the study was not held; 5. M – mean average; 6. m – mean average accuracy; 7. n – number of parallel trials.

Table V. Potential risk of hazard effect of fungicides for agricultural personnel treating berries and melon crops

Preparation	Acting substance	Hazard coefficients				Hazard indices				Percutaneous risk, %	
		percutaneous		inhalation		complex		combined		At ^{II}	T
		At*	T	At**	T	At***	T	At ^I	T		
Kitch	Fludioxonil	0.043	0.042	0.026	0.051	0.068	0.093	0.122	0.173	62.56	45.398
	Cyprodinil	0.029	0.028	0.026	0.051	0.054	0.079			53.020	35.662
Trinol	Fenhexamid	0.005	0.005	0.013	0.026	0.018	0.030	-	-	27.285	15.595
Switch	Cyprodinil	0.040	0.028	0.026	0.051	0.066	0.079	0.124	0.138	61.249	35.662
	Fludioxonil	0.057	0.057	0.001	0.003	0.059	0.059			97.827	95.684
Signum	Boskalid	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.007	0.018	0.023	0.046	0.056	90.604	70.045
	Piraclostrobin	0.026	0.026	0.001	0.005	0.028	0.033			95.400	84.716
Sercadis Plus	Difenoconazole	0.320	0.318	0.045	0.096	0.364	0.414	0.429	0.514	87.750	76.882
	Fluxapyroxad	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.068	0.064	0.100			50.466	31.769
Ridomil Gold	Metalaxyl-M	0.142	0.141	0.319	0.638	0.461	0.779	0.476	0.799	30.830	18.148
	Copper	0.010	0.010	0.005	0.010	0.015	0.020			66.151	48.330
Risk values, M±m		0.065± 0.028	0.064± 0.028	0.045± 0.028	0.091± 0.055	0.110± 0.046	0.155± 0.071	0.239± 0.088	0.336± 0.140	65.741± 7.468	50.717± 8.189

Notes : 1. At – spray fueling attendant; 2. T – tractor driver; 3. * – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of percutaneous effect for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=20); $t = 0.040$; 4. ** – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of inhalation effect for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=20); $t = -0.750$; 5. *** – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of complex effect for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=20); $t = -0.533$; 6. ^I – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of complex effect for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=8); $t = -0.584$; 7. ^{II} – no reliable difference between percutaneous risk for spray fueling assistants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=20); $t = 1.356$.

Table VI. Potential risk of hazard effect of herbicides for agricultural personnel treating berries and melon crops

Preparation	Acting substance	Hazard coefficients				Hazard indices		Percutaneous risk, %		
		Percutaneous		Inhalation		total		At ^I	T	
		At*	T	At**	T	At***	T			
Herbicides										
Stomp Aqua	Pendimethalin	0.362	0.110	0.006	0.013	0.369	0.123	98.271	89.599	
Herbalex	Glyphosate	0.128	0.127	0.191	0.510	0.319	0.637	40.068	19.963	
Risk values, M±m		0.245± ±0.117	0.11± ±0.009	0.09± ±0.093	0.262± ±0.249	0.34± ±0.025	0.380± ±0.257	69.17± ±29.102	54.781± ±34.818	
Insecticides										
Protect	Spirodiclophenv	0.325	0.318	0.006	0.013	0.331	0.331	98.074	96.145	
Vertimek	Abamectin	0.107	0.106	0.003	0.006	0.110	0.112	97.095	94.327	
Risk values, M±m		0.216± ±0.109	0.212± ±0.106	0.005± ±0.002	0.010± ±0.004	0.221± ±0.111	0.222± ±0.110	97.58± ±0.490	95.23± ±0.909	

Notes : 1. At – spray fueling attendant; 2. T – tractor driver; 3. * – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of percutaneous effect for spray fuel attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=6); $t = 0.78$; 4. ** – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of inhalation effect for spray fuel attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=6); $t = -0.63$; 5. *** – no reliable difference between hazard coefficients of complex effect for spray fuel attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=6); $t = -0.135$; 6. ^I – no reliable difference between percutaneous risk for spray fuel attendants and tractor drivers by Student test, $p > 0.05$ (df=6); $t = 0.36$.

The variance analysis and comparison of mean variables was performed by parametric and non-parametric tests, using software IBM SPSS StatisticsBase v.22 та MS Excel.

RESULTS

Natural studies of labour conditions of tractor drivers and spray filling attendants during pesticide treatment of berries and melon crops showed that the acting substances

content in the air above the treated area and area of possible dissemination was less than the quantitative margin value (table IV), and approved levels of pesticides in labour environment and atmospheric air (table III).

During and after manipulations, the personnel had no health problems, with arterial pressure within standards. and no changes of skin and eye mucosa.

The authors observed no face, neck, and hand open skin contamination in the respondents during calibrated spraying (below quantitative margin (table III)), as the skin surface of the personnel was permanently protected with special clothes, and gloves during all manipulations.

The washouts from skin under special clothes showed no studied substances. The washouts from the gloves of spray filling attendants preparing the solution contained: cyprodinil (Kitch) - 0.0035 mg, fenhexamide - 0.003 mg, cyprodinil (Switch) - 0.0045 mg, fludioxonil - 0.003 mg, boskalid - 0.006 mg, pyraclostrobin - 0.002 mg, fluxapyroxad - 0.0041 mg, copper - 0.0013 mg, pendimethalin - 0.009 mg, spiroadiclophen - 0.002 mg. The content of fungicides, herbicides, and insecticides in clothes stripes of calibrated spraying personnel was below the limit of quantification.

The hazard inhalation effect coefficients of fungicides were (0.045±0.028) and (0.045±0.028), herbicides - (0.09±0.093) and (0.262±0.249), and insecticides - (0.005±0.002) and (0.010±0.004), for the spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers respectively. The hazard percutaneous effect coefficients of fungicides were (0.065±0.028) and (0.064±0.028), herbicides - (0.245±0.117) and (0.11±0.009), insecticides - (0.216±0.109) and (0.212±0.106), for the spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers respectively. No reliable difference was found for the hazard inhalation effect and hazard percutaneous effect coefficients of the spray fueling attendant and tractor drivers ($p>0.05$) (table V - VI).

Pesticides percutaneous risk (%) for spray fueling attendants ranged 65.74-97.58 %, for tractor drivers - 50.72-95.23 %.

The combined fungicide inhalation and percutaneous penetration during agricultural calibrated spraying occurs within the permitted hazard index of complex (simultaneous action of acting substance with different ways of penetration) and combined (simultaneous action of several active substances) effect (<1). The analysis shows no reliable difference between inhalation and percutaneous effect hazard coefficients for spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers ($p>0,05$).

DISCUSSION

The results evidence about necessity of individual protection during treatment of berries and melon crops with pesticides.

Similar results were obtained before, during natural studies of pesticides used to treat strawberries, which established that the combined hazard for the personnel is 0.45, and it does not exceed the margin [9]. For pesticides used to treat potatoes, the percutaneous risk was 56.3 ± 7.3 % for spray fueling attendants, and 43.9 ± 7.3 % for tractor drivers. The hazard indices of complex and combined effect were within the standards [10].

The studies of professional risk of new agricultural treatment methods revealed that the combined risk values are reliably higher for tractor drivers than for spray fueling attendants. The values of the combined risk of the pilot were significantly lower than operator of manned aerial vehicle. The combined risk for all professional groups during various operations did not exceed the standard, making up approximately 0.46 ± 0.02 [11].

It was established that the hazard coefficient ranged from 0.5×10^{-4} to 10.0×10^{-4} during inhalation exposure on workers of insecticide Oberon Rapid, which includes two active substances spiromesifen and abamectin for garden crops treatment. Inhalation hazard coefficient was for ten times higher than percutaneous exposure, which was 0.04×10^{-4} - 1.5×10^{-4} . The combined risk was less than allowable (less than 1) to 7.8×10^{-4} for the operator and for the tractor driver - 11.1×10^{-4} [12].

It was shown according to the results of research carried out during treatment oil crops in the agro-industrial sector with the fungicides Akanto, Retengo, Amistar Gold, the indices of the harmful effects of active substances of picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, azoxystrobin, and difenoconazole in the case of complex intake by inhalation and dermal exposure under the conditions of ground and aviation processing are less 1 [13].

In the given literature emphasizes the use of personal protective equipment during working with pesticides. The use of personal protective equipment during working with pesticides is the most effective preventive measure [9-13].

The experience of many countries has shown that prevention of health risks for worker's health caused by pesticides is technically feasible and economically beneficial for individuals and society as a whole. Proper risk assessment and management of pesticide use is an important component of prevention of occupational poisoning and diseases [14].

In addition, the use of appropriate and well-maintained spraying equipment, with taking all necessary rules of using at all stages of treatment of plants by pesticides, can minimize human exposure to pesticides and their potential negative impact on the environment [15].

CONCLUSIONS

1. The article shows that treatment of berries and melon crops with different pesticides is not associated

- with exceeded hygienic standards, if agrotechnical and hygienic standards are kept.
- The authors have established that hazard index of complex effect of fungicides on spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers is respectively 0.110 ± 0.046 and 0.155 ± 0.071 , that of herbicides – 0.34 ± 0.025 and 0.380 ± 0.257 , that of insecticides – 0.221 ± 0.111 and 0.222 ± 0.110 ; hazard index of combined effect of several acting substances is 0.239 ± 0.088 and 0.336 ± 0.140 . The authors have proven, that the professional risk of chemicals does not exceed the standards.
 - Statistical analysis shows, that the hazard coefficients of inhalation and percutaneous penetration do not differ statistically between spray fueling attendants and tractor drivers, by Student test ($p > 0,05$). The percutaneous risk of various pesticides for spray fuel attendants ranges within 65.74–97.58 %, tractor drivers – 50.72–95.23 %.
 - Obtained results will be useful for prediction of hazards during treatment of other agricultural plants with the studied pesticide groups and classes, and further monitoring is recommended.

REFERENCES

- Ruda TV, Korshun MM. Hihienichna otsinka profesiinoho kombinovanoho ryzyku pry zastosuvanni suchasnykh bahatokomponentnykh pestytsydiv na posivakh kukurudzy ta soniashnyku. [Hygienic assessment of professional combined risk in case of modern multicomponent pesticides usage on maize and sunflower crops]. *Medicni Perspektivi*. 2018;23(3):98–103. doi: 10.26641/2307-0404.2018.3.147964. (in Ukrainian).
- Fargnoli M, Lombardi M, Puri D et al. The Safe Use of Pesticides: A Risk Assessment Procedure for the Enhancement of Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Management. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2019;16(3):310. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16030310.
- Gangemi S, Miozzi E, Teodoro M et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides as a possible risk factor for the development of chronic diseases in humans (Review). *Mol Med Rep*. 2016; 14(5): 4475–4488. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2016.5817.
- De-Assis M P, Barcella RC, Padilha JC et al. Health problems in agricultural workers occupationally exposed to pesticides. *Rev Bras Med Trab*. 2021; 18(3): 352–363. doi: 10.47626/1679-4435-2020-532.
- Darçın ES, Darçın M, Alkan M, Doğrul G. Occupational risk factors for acute pesticide poisoning among farmers in Asia. *IntechOpen*. 2017. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.72006.
- Maroni M, Fanetti AC, Metruccio F. Risk assessment and management of occupational exposure to pesticides in agriculture. *La Medicina del lavoro*. 2006; 97(2):430–437.
- Metodychni rekomendatsii «Vychennia, otsinka i zmenshennia ryzyku inhaliatsiinoho i perkutannoho vplyvu pestytsydiv na osib, yaki pratsiuut z nymy abo mozhut zaznavaty vplyvu pid chas i pislia khimichnoho zakhystu roslyn ta inshykh ob'ektiv». *Zatv. nakazom No. 324*. 13.05.2009. Kyiv: Ministerstvo okhorony zdorovia Ukrainy, 29. [Methodological recommendations «Vychennia, otsinka i menshennia ryzyku inhaliatsiinoho i perkutannoho vplyvu pestytsydiv na osib, yaki pratsiuut z nymy abo mozhut zaznavaty vplyvu pid chas i pislia khimichnoho zakhystu roslyn ta inshykh ob'ektiv». *Zatv. nakazom No. 324*. 13.05.2009. Kyiv: Ministerstvo okhorony zdorovia Ukrainy, 29] <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/rada/show/v0324282-09#Text>. [date access 13.02.2023]. (in Ukrainian).
- EN 482:2012+A1:2015. Workplace exposure – General requirements for the performance of procedures for the measurement of chemical agents. http://online.budstandart.com/ua/catalog/doc-page?id_doc=67247. [date access 13.02.2023].
- Zinchenko TI, Pelo IM, Omelchuk ST, Vavrinevych OP. Hihienichna otsinka potentsiinoho ryzyku dlia osib, zadiianykh pry zastosuvanni pestytsydiv u systemi khimichnoho zakhystu polunytis v umovakh osobystykh selianskykh hospodarstv. [Hygienic assessment of the potential risk for persons involved in the application of pesticides in the system of chemical protection of strawberries in the conditions of personal peasant farms]. *Ukrainian Journal of Occupational Medicine Problems*. 2016; (3):71–78. (in Ukrainian).
- Omelchuk ST, Vavrinevych OP, Antonenko AM et al. Hihienichna otsinka profesiinoho ryzyku dlia pratsivnykiv pry zastosuvanni pestytsydiv dlia zakhystu kartopli. [Hygienic assessment of professional risk for employees when applying pesticides for protection of potatoes]. *Medical science of Ukraine (MSU)*. 2018; 3-4 (14): 95–102. doi: 10.32345/2664-4738.3-4.2018.13. (in Ukrainian).
- Borysenko A, Tkachenko I, Antonenko A. Comparative hygienic assessment of working conditions and potential risks for workers' health when applying pesticides in different technics. *Technology Transfer: Innovative Solutions in Medicine*. 2021; 26–28. doi: 10.21303/2585-6634.2021.002146.
- Tkachenko IV, Antonenko AM, Borysenko AA et al. Hihienichna otsinka profesiinoho ryzyku pry zastosuvanni pestytsydivnykh preparativ na osnovi spiromezifenu ta abamektynu dlia pratsivnykiv silskoho hospodarstva [Hygienic assessment of occupational risk when using pesticidal formulations based on spiromesifen and abamectin for agricultural workers]. *Ukrainian journal of occupational health*. 2021;(4):253–260. doi:10.33573/ujoh2021.04.253. (in Ukrainian).
- Ruda TV, Korshun MM, Garkavyi SI. Hihienichna otsinka profesiinoho ryzyku pry zastosuvanni pestytsydivnykh preparativ na osnovi spiromezifenu ta abamektynu dlia pratsivnykiv silskoho hospodarstva [Hygienic assessment of professional risk in case of strobilurin fungicides usage on oil crops dropping]. *World of medicine and biology*. 2017; (2):103–110.

14. Maroni M, Fanetti AC, Metruccio F. Risk assessment and management of occupational exposure to pesticides in agriculture. *La Medicina del lavoro*. 2006;97(2):430–437.
15. Damalas CA, Eleftherohorinos IG. Pesticide exposure, safety issues, and risk assessment indicators. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2011;8(5):1402–1419. doi: 10.3390/ijerph8051402.

This article was carried out as part of the initiative-research research work «Comparative hygienic assessment and scientific justification of approaches to hygienic regulation of innovative technologies of pesticide application» (2022-2024, № state registration 0122U000634). The study was not financed by any state, public or commercial institution

ORCID and contributionship:

Olha S. Bilous: 0000-0003-2230-9642 ^{A-D}

Olena P. Vavrinevych: 0000-0002-4871-0840 ^{A-F}

Sergiy T. Omelchuk: 0000-0002-2451-9689 ^F

Vasyl D. Aleksiiichuk: 0000-0002-1700-6391 ^{C,E}

Alina I. Syrota: 0000-0003-2161-8048 ^{B,E}

Conflict of interests:

The Authors declare no conflict of interests.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**Olha S. Bilous**

Bogomolets National Medical University
13 Taras Shevchenko Boulevard, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine
tel: +380509473650
e-mail: bil_os@ukr.net

Received: 10.09.2022

Accepted: 26.03.2023

A - Work concept and design, **B** – Data collection and analysis, **C** – Responsibility for statistical analysis, **D** – Writing the article, **E** – Critical review, **F** – Final approval of the article

 Article published on-line and available in open access are published under Creative Common Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)